



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,462	01/26/2001	Thomas Thoroe Scherb	P20418 5458	
75	90 01/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C. 1946 Roland Clarker Place			CHIN, PETER	
Reston, VA 20			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the state of t	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/769,462	SCHERB ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Chin	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance, with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic	ation. A proper repl	y to a			
l <del>-</del>	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replace later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriate of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>S</b> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consider <u>Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly			
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li> </ol>	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)l ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered any or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>				
10. Other:		Pel	<u>.</u>			
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not convincing for reasons given in the previous Office Actions.